Presentation of key findings
of
Endorsers survey analysis report
04.12.2018
About the Survey:

- Conducted in September 2018
- 74 respondents from 22 countries completed the survey
- 90% respondents were local/national actors
- 75% respondents were C4C endorsers
- Majority of respondents from DRC, Uganda, South Sudan and Haiti
Compliance: Signatories vs Endorsers perspectives

Figure 3: Self-rate Compliance – Signatories vs Endorsers perspective

Compares the survey responses of the C4C endorsers and the signatories on their self-rated compliance towards the C4C Commitments.

- **Signatories Survey**
- **Endorsers Survey - Signatory partners**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non compliant</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C6-Address subcontracting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8-Communication about partners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-Emphasise importance of national actors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-Stop undermining local capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7-Capacity Strengthening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-Principles of Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-Increase Transparency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key findings

- C4C has potential but not fully realised yet
- Better delivery on commitments will have better outcomes for the people in crises
- Endorsers want to be more engaged in the process and decision-making
- More CB support is expected to be more efficient in serving their target population
- Country offices of the signatories not as committed as headquarters
- Many endorsers as well as staff of country offices of signatories don’t know enough about the commitments
- More financial transparency needed (% retained by signatories)
- Overheads are not shared
- By and large, capacity building support has been appreciated
Key findings:

- Training often not based on needs of NNGOs but decided by INGOs
- High familiarity with Principles of Partnership
- Higher adherence of the signatories with PoP
- Sub-contracting or direct implementation by the signatories during sudden onset disasters
- Signatories, more than endorsers, feel better compliance with C5 – Emphasising importance of national actors
- Visibility and influence of L/NA has improved with more influencing participation in coordination mechanism and other meetings
- Improved inclusion of local partners in media communications
- Staff poaching has gone down
- L/NA still find competing with INGOs for staff difficult because they have unassured funds and offer low salaries
- Only 40% organisations were introduced to back donors by INGOs
Recommendations

• Internal advocacy required for improved adherence across entire organisation
• Endorsers expect support to build transparency at their level
• Organisational self-assessment to determine training needs
• INGOS need to do more to create visibility platform for local actors
• INGOs need to provide support on compliance
• INGOs need to increase awareness of C4C and their localisation commitments among their country programme staff
Points for discussion

• Were there any surprises for you about variations? (signatories as well as endorsers)
• How could the endorsers hold signatories to account on the commitments they made?